

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/665,329	09/18/2003	Thomas Richard Tepe	A01446	6250
21898 7:	590 05/22/2006		EXAMINER .	
ROHM AND HAAS COMPANY			RONESI, VICKEY M	
PATENT DEPARTMENT 100 INDEPENDENCE MALL WEST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106-2399			1714	
			DATE MAILED: 05/22/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	4	/
RICHARD		
dress		
0) DAYS,		
ommunication.		
e merits is		
FR 1.121(d). FO-152.		
Stage		

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/665,329	TEPE, THOMAS RICHARD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Vickey Ronesi	1714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 M	arch 2006.					
	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 12-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 12-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) 🔀 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F 6) 🔲 Other:	(PTO-413) ate ratent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The objection to the specification is withdrawn in light of applicant's amendment filed 3/16/2006.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior office action.
- 3. No new grounds of rejection are set forth below. Thus, the following action is properly made final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 1-8 and 11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fealy et al (US 5,656,257) in view of Laryea et al ("Stabilized Shampoo/Surfactant Suspensions," cited on IDS dated 12/29/2003).

The rejection is adequately set forth in paragraph 6 of Office action mailed 12/22/2005 and is incorporated here by reference.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 18 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 3/16/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Specifically, applicant argues that it has been shown that unexpected results are had

by using acrylic acid and methacrylic acid and C₂-C₄ alkyl (meth)acrylate over methyl methacrylate.

In response to the argument, the examiner has considered all inventive and comparative data, including the data presented in the declaration filed under 37 CFR 1.132 on 3/16/2006; however, the data is not considered to be commensurate in scope with the claims. In particular, all inventive examples only include ethyl acrylate as the C₂-C₄ alkyl (meth)acrylate, only resin bead suspension data is had for mixtures of acrylic acid and methacrylic acid rather than acrylic acid alone, and the relative amounts of comonomer are not reasonably commensurate in scope with the claimed invention.

With respect to C_2 - C_4 alkyl (meth)acrylate, the inventive data only contains ethyl acrylate and cannot serve to establish that the other compounds of C2-C4 alkyl (meth)acrylate (i.e., ethyl methacrylate, propyl acrylate, propyl methacrylate, butyl acrylate, and butyl methacrylate) also exhibit those properties. Case law holds that evidence of superior properties in one species insufficient to establish the nonobviousness of a subgenus containing hundreds of compounds). In re Greenfield, 571 F.2d 1185, 1189, 197 USPQ 227, 230 (CCPA 1978). It is noted that the exemplified copolymer of Fealy et al is Aculyn 22 (col. 3, line 52, Table 1) is a terpolymer containing oxyalkylenated stearyl methacrylate, ethyl acrylate, and methacrylic acid as evidenced by Cottard et al (US 6,695,887).

With respect to acrylic acid, the inventive data of Table 2 on page 11 of the specification only contains mixtures of acrylic acid and methacrylic acid and cannot support unexpectedly improved properties in regards to resin beads being suspended when only acrylic acid is used. It has only been shown that copolymers containing methacrylic acid and not acrylic acid do no exhibit the desirable resin bead suspension properties.

Art Unit: 1714

With respect to the relative amounts of comonomer, the inventive examples contain either 5 or 20 wt % acrylic acid monomer and either 20 or 30 wt % of methacrylic acid and acrylic monomers and. Case law holds that evidence is insufficient to rebut a *prima facie* case if not commensurate in scope with the claimed invention. *In re Grasselli*, 713 F.2d 731, 741, 218 USPQ 769, 777 (Fed. Cir. 1983). It is considered that the ranges of claims 4 and 16 are reasonably commensurate in scope with the currently had data.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vickey Ronesi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2701. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.

Art Unit: 1714

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

5/16/2006 Vickey Ronesi Jagannathan VASU JAGANNATHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700